May 27, 2015

Dear Ms. Enkins, Ombudsman for CBC

Re: Challenging The Rationale of False Equivalence

I am writing in response to your recent review - **Vaccinations and Balance, Again** – May 4, 2015. Your review was in response to a number of complaints about the manner in which the Canadian Broadcast Corporation, and specifically The Current and Cross Country Check Up have addressed the topic of vaccinations.

In your review you defended the journalistic standards of various CBC producers using the rationale of *"false equivalence"* or *"false balance"*.

You wrote:

- *"There is no equivalence when the **overwhelming evidence** points to the safety and efficacy of the MMR vaccine".*

- *"It is our job as journalists to separate what is scientifically valid and what is not, and present the public with **supported facts**. That is not to say that there are no documented adverse effects to the measles vaccine. There are. . . . the chances of any serious effects are **extremely remote**, and infinitely smaller than the chances of dying from measles."*

- *"There is no violation of journalistic policy or integrity in **presenting the facts**."*

- *To create a debate about whether the MMR vaccine is safe and effective would be to create false equivalence. First and foremost journalists have **a duty to truth telling and presenting verifiable facts**.*

Ms. Enkins, the benefit of your response is you have clearly acknowledged that the **CBC does not provide fair and balanced reporting** on the issue of vaccine safety and effectiveness. You confirm that only one perspective, the perspective of the medical/pharmaceutical industry and the regulatory bodies who work in collaboration with the medical/pharmaceutical industry are being presented. This is an accurate assessment of the status of journalism currently at the CBC.

The disconcerting aspect of your report is the implication that you have no intention of requiring the CBC to provide **fair and balanced reporting on the issue of vaccine safety** and will continue to enact a form of censorship on a topic of significant importance to Canadians.

Ms. Enkins, I make the same assumption of you that I made of Mr. Shanks and the other CBC producers –

My assumption is that you are **well intended** in your efforts to address the vaccine issue. I'm assuming there is no financial or political conflict of interest that would overtly bias your freedom to provide a fair and thoughtful exploration of vaccine effectiveness and safety.

I recognize that my assumption of no financial or political conflict of interest is likely naïve given approximately 70% of advertising revenues in mainstream media today come from the pharmaceutical industry. It is my hope the CBC is less susceptible to being unduly influenced by advertising revenues in their programming decisions.

I also assume that you are **no better informed** than most Canadians on the issue of vaccine safety and effectiveness. Unless you have had a family member who has personally been affected by an **adverse reaction** to a vaccine, you assume the promotional messages delivered by Health Canada and the medical/pharmaceutical industry is "fact".

I make this statement not in judgment, but simply in observation of your recital of the typical messages that are routinely delivered in mainstream media and presented as "facts" whenever questions of vaccine safety are raised –

"Vaccines are safe and effective."
"The risks of injury are minimal."
"Vaccine damage is one in a million."
"The benefits far outweigh the risks."
"We have a social responsibility to vaccinate."
"Only a tiny minority of children will experience an adverse reaction."
"The science on vaccines is clear."
"Vaccines do not cause autism."
"Mercury does not cause autism."

Unfortunately, it appears that in your capacity as CBC Ombudsman you are either **unable or unwilling** to undertake a proper evaluation of the scientific literature pertaining to vaccine safety. As a result you are either **knowingly or naively complicit** in a well-orchestrated effort to deny and conceal substantial concerns about the safety of the current vaccination program.

You consistently refer to *"overwhelming evidence"* and *"scientific facts"* yet present none in support of your decision. You seem unable to differentiate between opinion, propaganda, marketing materials, and actual scientific evidence derived from properly designed and conducted **clinical** trials.

You appear to be completely unaware that:

- Vaccination is an invasive medical treatment with known risks including death. In 2011 the Supreme Court in the United States deemed vaccinations ***"unavoidably unsafe"***,[i] meaning that even when used as directed an unknown number of individuals will be injured or killed by vaccines.

- Much of the data we have about the frequency of adverse effects of vaccines comes from the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) in the United States. VAERS is "**a passive reporting system"**[ii] and "there is no enforcement or penalties for failure to comply with the vaccine safety informing, reporting, and recording provisions" when there is a vaccine injury.[iii] It is estimated that **less than ten percent of adverse vaccine injury events are reported.**[iv]

- The CAEFISS database, Canada's main repository for vaccine adverse events, is **not available to the public** except through freedom of information requests. Anna Maria Tremonti was mistaken when she stated –

  "Adverse effects on vaccinations are actually one of the most tracked things we have and they are available through the public health agency of Canada.
  You don't know that?" "It's right there."

  \- The Current, February 9, 2015

- In the period from 1992 - 2012 the non-accessible CAEFISS database recorded **87,911** vaccine adverse reaction reports in Canada. This is an average of **over 4,000 vaccine adverse reports per year**. [xviii]

- Currently there are **no long-term clinical trials** that demonstrate vaccine safety.[v] Most safety trials are limited to **a few weeks.**[vi] Most effectiveness trials are limited to **the measurement of anti-bodies/titers in the blood** rather than producing **verifiable evidence** that the vaccine actually **prevented** the targeted disease.[vii]

- No safety trials exist that determine the safety of **giving multiple vaccinations** at once.[viii]

- **No large safety trials exist that use an unvaccinated population as the control group**. [ix]

- The current **vaccine schedule has <u>never</u> been tested for safety** in the real world way in which the schedule is implemented.

- The **various vaccine combinations** have <u>never</u> been tested for safety.

- **No clinical proof** exists to support the claim that vaccines are **responsible** for the decline in infectious diseases, let alone the claim of millions of lives saved. In fact, the rate of incidence, mortality, and severity of various infectious diseases declined 60 – 99% **prior** to the introduction of the appropriate vaccine. (Dissolving Illusions – Dr. Suzanne Humphries, MD)

- There is **no independent biological science** that shows injecting ethyl mercury (Thimerosal) into humans **is safe in any amount**. The FDA grandfathered in Mercury and manufacturers were not required to provide evidence of safety.

- The amount of aluminum used in vaccines **regularly exceeds** the maximum amount permitted by the FDA. [xi]

- The Cochrane Collaboration Report (May 2011), after reviewing more than 65 clinical trials and studies on the MMR vaccine involving more than 14 million children determined that - *"The design and reporting of safety outcomes in MMR vaccine studies, both pre- and post-marketing, are **largely inadequate**.*

- A large Canadian study found that 1 in 168 children ended up in ER after vaccination with the MMR vaccine. A number of children died during the study period. The study design allowed fewer than 6 deaths to be discounted. No long-term follow-up of the children seen in ER has been undertaken. [xvi]

- Currently pharmaceutical manufacturers are able to **withhold evidence** of research trials and outcomes and only publish or acknowledge those trials that produce outcomes **favorable to their cause**.[x]

- Between 2005 and 2014 there were **no** reported deaths from wild measles in the United States and **108 deaths** reported after receiving the MMR vaccine. [xii]

- There is **no risk/benefit calculation** made for each vaccine or combination of vaccines. The risk/benefit assessment is an **opinion**, not a verifiable scientific fact.

- Vaccine **immunity is not life long**. Immunity can be months or years, or not at all. The use of the term "vaccine preventable disease" when referring to measles is not accurate. Measles is a "vaccine **delayed** disease".

- 'Herd immunity' is a **theoretical concept** that in practice has repeatedly failed "to take effect" even when vaccination rates exceed the desired targets.

- There is a difference between **epidemiological** studies and **clinical** trials. Epidemiological studies **cannot prove safety**.

- What we are provided by the medical/pharmaceutical industry is an abundance of epidemiological/statistical studies. These studies **are not clinical evidence** of safety and their design precludes them from being able to prove safety.

What the medical/pharmaceutical industry provides is akin to ***"tobacco science".*** What we are witnessing today is not unlike the cigarette advertisements that claimed *"four of five doctors choose Camels".*

Saying all vaccines are safe and effective is like saying all prescription drugs are safe and effective. The statement also implies that all vaccines are safe and effective **for all people**, which is obviously untrue given the US Vaccine Court has awarded more than three billion dollars in compensation for vaccine injury.

Claims such as *"all vaccines are safe and effective"* are without scientific integrity and therefore meaningless. Anyone who states: *"the science regarding vaccinations is clear"* is either not a scientist or is not being honest. These statements are **promotional** statements, not **science** statements.

"Let's be clear: the work of science has nothing whatever to do with consensus. Consensus is the business of politics. Science, on the contrary, requires only one investigator who happens to be right, which means that he or she has results that are verifiable by reference to the real world.
In science consensus is irrelevant.

What is relevant is reproducible results. The greatest scientists in history are great precisely because they broke with the consensus.
There is no such thing as consensus science. If it's consensus, it isn't science. If it's science, it isn't consensus. Period.

I regard consensus science as an extremely pernicious development that ought to be stopped cold in its tracks. Historically, the claim of consensus has been the first refuge of scoundrels; it is a way to avoid debate by claiming that the matter is already settled." [xix]

- Michael Crichton, M.D.

Orchestrated Campaign

Ms. Enkins, I'm sure you are aware that a well-orchestrated campaign is being implemented in the United States and Canada to deny parents the right of **informed consent** with regard to vaccinations. Following the 139 cases of measles commonly referred to as 'the Disneyland outbreak', 101 bills in 38 states have been launched to remove vaccine exemptions.

Various state governments are presently holding debates on whether to preserve religious and personal exemptions. The intent of these bills is to deny parents any choice regarding vaccinations. In the US they are having public debates on the matter. In Canada there is no open and public debate. We are effectively being denied the right to informed consent **without the benefit of a debate**.

Robert Kennedy Jr., an internationally acclaimed environmental lawyer who has successfully prosecuted numerous cases of toxic injury, including mercury, has spent the last ten years investigating the toxic effects of vaccines. In his May 5, 2015 address to the Vermont House Health Care Committee with regards to Bill H. 98 he stated there have been **four major and substantive reviews** of the Center for Disease Control in the US. The CDC is responsible for monitoring and approving vaccines in the US and their recommendations influence what Health Canada decides pertaining to vaccine use in Canada.

The reviews were conducted by - the US Senate, the HHS Inspector General, the Office of Research and Integrity, and the House of Representatives. Each report concluded that the vaccine division of the CDC has significant financial conflict of interest with up to 97% of their members implicated. Kennedy describes the CDC as *"a troubled agency"* and *"a cesspool of corruption"*. He specifically pointed out Paul Offitt. Offitt used his position on the CDC to approve the rotavirus vaccine to which he held a patent and personally profited more than 40 million dollars. [xiii]

I reference Paul Offitt for another reason. Offitt is a member of the board of directors of '**Voices for Vaccines'**. 'Voices for Vaccines' is a medical/pharmaceutical industry controlled organization that is responsible for providing media with the **"False Balance Toolkit"**. [xiv] It is evident the CBC is relying on this toolkit as the basis for its justification for denying fair and balanced reporting on vaccine safety.

I have reviewed the 'False Balance Toolkit" and identified serious errors and outright deceptions including:

- *"No controversy involving the safety and effectiveness of vaccines actually exists".*
  Blatantly untrue and dishonest. [xv]

- *Scientific consensus shows this parent's statement (of vaccine injury) is unwarranted based on the evidence.*

There is not a consensus with regards to vaccine injury. The CDC has acknowledged they do not know what causes autism.

- *It's easy to see why giving equal air-time to a person who represents a scientifically invalid view held by fewer than 10% of parents and 0% of doctors to an individual representing scientific consensus and 90% of parents promotes false balance.*

It is blatantly dishonest to state 0% of doctors have concern about vaccine safety. [xv] [xvii]

- *The facts are reproducible and verifiable.*

What facts? No long-term clinical safety trials have been conducted.

- *Andrew Wakefield's fraudulent article on the MMR vaccine, which was published in The Lancet, was a dramatic decrease in immunization coverage for that vaccine, and which resulted in the reintroduction of measles in the UK, which was once eliminated and is again endemic.*

Dr. Wakefield's article in the Lancet was not about the MMR vaccine and referencing his article this way is dishonest. The article was a preliminary report on a new and devastating bowel syndrome found in 12 children who developed autistic disorders following the MMR vaccine.

Dr. Wakefield's findings have been replicated in numerous studies by independent researchers around the world. Dr. Wakefield was never charged with fraud or found guilty of fraud. To accuse Wakefield of fraud is simply dishonest. [xv]

Dr. Wakefield's colleague and co-author of the study, Dr. Walker Smith, challenged the findings of the General Medical Council in court and the British High Court judge exonerated Dr. Walker Smith, the lead clinician in the study from all wrongdoing, which by extension also exonerated Dr. Wakefield. [xv]

Dr. Wakefield is not anti-vaccine. Dr. Wakefield recommended the measles, mumps and rubella be received in three separate vaccines until further evidence demonstrated the safety of the combined MMR shot. It was the UK government who stopped licensing the individual shots for measles, mumps and rubella following Wakefield's recommendations. The UK government is to be held responsible for the decline in vaccination rates in the UK. It is the UK government who forced parents to choose between a questionable multiple vaccine and no vaccine. [xv]

Measles has never been eliminated and anyone who makes this claim is dishonest.

- *An unprecedented outbreak of measles, sparked by unvaccinated individuals.*

  The measles outbreak is largely due to vaccine failure and short-term immunity. Many individuals who contract measles today are fully vaccinated. [xxi]

- *Anti-vaccine activists.*

  The use of the term "anti-vaccine activists" is dishonest. Most individuals who are labeled "anti-vaccine" are parents whose children experienced vaccine injury. It is dishonest to describe parents who vaccinated their children as "anti-vaccine".

I bring to your attention that the toolkit is produced by 'Voices for Vaccines', rather than **'Voices for Vaccine Safety'**. Its purpose is not to educate the media on the safety of vaccines by providing verifiable scientific evidence. Its purpose is to **promote** the use of vaccines and to **silence the voices of parents** and others who have personally experienced vaccine injury.

Voices for Vaccines is **propaganda masquerading as science**.

Its purpose is to protect an **industry** rather than to protect our **children**.

If we are serious about determining vaccine safety a very simple test goes to the heart of the vaccine controversy - **What is the difference in total health outcomes between vaccinated and unvaccinated populations?**

The resistance of the medical/vaccine industry and government bodies to conduct this kind of research reveals an attitude of **"we don't want to know"**. The reality is a 30 billion dollar/year industry, government credibility, and substantial compensation for vaccine injury lie in the balance. Of course they don't want to know.

It is difficult to get a man to understand something,
when his salary depends upon his not understanding it!"

– Upton Sinclair

In the absence of meaningful research we have **blind faith** in a for-profit industry and government regulators who have been proven to have significant financial conflict of interest. If there was ever an issue that required the power of the media to provide oversight and accountability, **vaccine safety** is the issue. The health and

well-being of every Canadian present and future, as well as our moral right to informed consent **is at risk**. Informed consent is a foundational aspect of Canadian medical law and stems from the Nuremburg trials.

Being concerned about vaccine safety is not being anti-vaccination. Not trusting the CDC and the medical/pharmaceutical industry is not anti-science. Rather, questioning and demanding **scientific evidence of vaccine safety** is being **responsible parents and health consumers**. We should all want scientific evidence of safety.

"All vaccines are not created equal.
Discussion of both the benefits and the risks of individual vaccines is needed.
What I see as a bigger problem: In general, the public is unwilling to question medical authorities. A public that accepts all public announcements from one or more of these organizations (American Academy of Pediatrics, the CDC's Communicable Disease Center, the World Health Organization) loses the art of discernment.

The authoritative medical bodies must end their arrogant stance
and take an honest look at the literature they have suppressed.
The public deserves better.
Negative effects must be honestly brought to light.
Legislative bodies need to do their homework
and reject any thought of mandating vaccinations." [xxii]

- Ralph Campbell, MD, retired board-certified pediatrician

The media treats parents of vaccine injured children as if we are ill informed and irresponsible. I personally have invested many thousands of hours researching vaccine safety over 30 years. I have reviewed many hundreds of research studies, dozens of books on the topic of vaccine safety, viewed dozens of documentaries, and written numerous letters to medical officers at various levels of government to request clinical evidence of vaccine safety. No clinical evidence has ever been provided. Every parent I have met in this movement has made a similar commitment to fully understanding the issues.

My agenda, unlike the medical/pharmaceutical industry and government beneficiaries, is not profit. Rather it is a deep desire to see that Canadians have available to them the **safest products** and the **healthiest children** possible. I do this because my own son is a victim of vaccine injury. I made the mistake of blindly accepting vaccine dogma rather than making an informed decision.

People who choose not to vaccinate have generally made their choice after:

1. Having a child who was injured or killed by a vaccine or vaccines.

2. Know someone who was injured or killed by a vaccine or vaccines.
3. Spent many hours researching this issue for them selves.

We Have An Epidemic Today

There is a major epidemic today. However, contrary to media reports the epidemic is not measles, the flu, polio, or whooping cough. It is neuro-immune disorders, ADD and ADHD, autism, learning disabilities, life threatening allergies, arthritis, juvenile diabetes, and other autoimmune diseases.

One child in 48 will develop autism in North America today, and **1 child in 5** will develop a life long disabling condition. In spite of these significant numbers the media's response is **denial, dismissal, and distraction**. Thousands of articles were written about 139 cases of measles since December 2014. Yet, the media remains virtually silent as more than 50,000 children will be diagnosed with autism in North America this year.

No entity has the right to harm or injure, sicken or infect, paralyze or kill our children. Not only is such conduct by the state a serious breach of the social contract, it represents a profound violation of the public trust. Mandatory vaccination breaks the inviolable bond between the citizenry and the government.

Responsible Journalism

There are a number of questions that responsible journalists ought to be asking with regard to vaccinations:

1. Is it reasonable or responsible to continue to inject human beings, particularly pregnant women, with mercury containing vaccines when the FDA has never tested **mercury** for safety?

2. Why is it that we don't hold those individuals recently vaccinated with a live vaccine (chicken pox, measles, mumps, rubella, intranasal influenza, shingles) responsible for the spread of infectious diseases due to **viral shedding**?

3. Should the U.S. Center for Disease Control be trusted on issues of vaccine safety given one of their own scientists, Dr. William Thompson, has come forth as a federal whistleblower alleging **scientific fraud on the MMR vaccine-autism connection,** has provided the U.S. Congress with thousands of documents involving anomalies in vaccine studies, and has asked to be subpoenaed by Congress?

Dr. Thompson disclosed that he was ordered by the CDC **to manipulate data** to deny the vaccine-autism relationship. Dr. Thompson is the lead author of the 2004 study that is used as the evidence that vaccines don't cause autism.

4. Should vaccine manufacturer Merck be trusted given two of their own scientists have come forth alleging **scientific fraud on MMR vaccine effectiveness studies** enabling Merck to have a monopoly on the manufacture of the MMR vaccine?

5. Is the breadth and depth of the studies done on the safety of the current vaccine schedule adequate given the research is being done only by those who either **profit from the vaccines** or are responsible for increasing vaccine uptake?

6. Have the children who have gotten sick, disabled or died from vaccine reactions been studied to identify **their vulnerabilities or the vaccine's defects** so that we may identify other vulnerable children or the vaccine's limitations and prevent further tragedies and loss of life in the future?

7. Do we have a responsibility to these children, their families, and future vaccine victims to conduct **independent vaccine safety studies** immediately?

8. How many children are we willing to sacrifice in pursuit of **the theory of 'herd immunity**' or the **'public good'**?

A One-Sided Conversation

Rather than have a thoughtful dialogue supported by **extensive and rigorous evidence**, the CBC offers a one sided conversation, which is no conversation at all.

Could you imagine a criminal trial where only the defense got to present their arguments? Or a discussion on pipelines where only the oil companies were allowed to present their "facts"? Yet when the topic is the safety of vaccinations, the CBC has abandoned their long-standing tradition of open and thoughtful dialogue. Only one side of the story is being told. Only the "experts" and propagandists who profit from vaccines are invited to the table.

A decision of the significance of mandatory vaccinations and the loss of informed consent requires:

More conversation, not less.

More information, not less.
More evidence and **scrutiny**, not less.
More caution, not less.
More oversight, not less.

Unfortunately the opposite is occurring.

The right to informed consent is being attacked and eroded. Those who have experienced the risks of vaccinations are being marginalized and silenced. And this medical tyranny is being **aided and abetted by our national broadcaster**. Our media no longer represents an independent voice. They have become an extension of advertising and marketing departments of industry. The current practice of withholding information effectively deprives Canadians of their right to informed consent.

"When I tell the truth it is not for the sake of convincing those who do not know it, but for the sake of defending those that do."

- William Blake

What I Want from the CBC

1. Respect and Support of Our Legal Rights and Freedoms

The CBC has a responsibility to inform their listeners, viewers and readers, not deny them access to information. The CBC has a responsibility to respect and support the Charter rights to **fundamental freedoms of conscience and religion**, the **legal right to security of the person**, and the medical ethic of informed consent, not erode them.

2. Recognize All Children Are Important

I want my vaccine injured child to be just as important as the immuno-compromised child who is the justification given for forcing vaccinations on my child against my and his will.

3. Tell the Truth About Safety Data

I want the CBC to tell the truth about the current status of the vaccination program including the fact that we have no way of knowing whether the benefits of vaccination outweigh the risks because **adequate data on vaccine safety does <u>not</u> exist**.

4. Admit Their Bias

I want the CBC to acknowledge that they have told only half the story with regard to vaccine safety and effectiveness and make a commitment to share the truth, even if it is inconvenient for the medical/pharmaceutical industry and Health Canada. If the CBC has a financial or political conflict of interest in these matters, I want the CBC to declare this conflict of interest whenever they report on vaccine issues.

5. Responsible Journalism

I challenge the CBC to be more responsible to **victims of vaccine injury**, be thorough in their research, learn the facts, honestly challenge the claims made by the medical/pharmaceutical industry, demand evidence, and be a force for truth, health, and choice in Canada.

6. Respectful Labeling

In other debates over controversial issues, (e.g. abortion rights) the media generally treats the two camps with a degree of respect, allowing each to name itself (pro-choice and pro-life). The "anti-vaccine movement" doesn't see itself as anti-anything. Rather it lobbies for **honest, informed consent, and better research**. In the interests of elevating this debate, the CBC might in future call this perspective the "**Informed Consent Movement**."

7. Declare Intentions

I want to know how the CBC intends to respond to these serious **threats to our Charter rights and our medical safety** and inform me of what intentions they have for future programming to support Canadian's right to informed choice. What efforts will the CBC undertake to move beyond simply repeating the promotional claims of the medical/pharmaceutical industry? What efforts will be made to engage in **true investigative journalism** and address the core concerns of vaccine safety? The public's trust in vaccines is eroding. The public's trust in the press is evaporating even faster.

"Severe constraints are placed on the media in the name of 'responsible journalism' with the result that the American public very seldom hears both sides of the vaccination story, and comes to have an unquestioning faith in vaccinations as our greatest hope against future imagined disease plagues.

In this fear-based scenario, the questioning voice of reason is drowned out amid the hysteria surrounding the emerging 'killer infections,' which are such a favorite media topic. The propagation of fear by the media and by its sources in the public health industry has resulted in a growth of power in this industry far beyond the usual checks and balances of our democracy." [xxiii]

- Dr. Philip F. Incao MD

Allies, Not Adversaries

Ms. Enkins, I am looking for **allies**, not adversaries. I am looking for your help in **securing our rights and freedoms** as citizens of Canada, not erode them. I am looking for your assistance in challenging our governments and the medical/pharmaceutical industry to **ensure the long-term health** of all of our children, not undermine their long-term health.

As is clearly evident by the information I have provided the issue of vaccinations is substantially more complicated and more controversial than Canadians have been lead to believe. We have a responsibility to get this right.

Ms. Enkins, you can dismiss the information and concerns I have brought to your attention, or you can help the CBC get on with their duty to ***"truth telling and presenting verifiable facts".*** I ask you to use your authority as Ombudsman to ensure that Canadians are given **the right information to make informed health care choices**. We should want and expect nothing less.

I look forward to your considered response.

Sincerely,

Ted Kuntz

References:

i **BRUESEWITZ ET AL. v. WYETH LLC, FKA WYETH, INC., ET AL.** CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT No. 09–152. Argued October12, 2010—Decided February 22, 2011 http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/10pdf/09-152.pdf

ii **Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). CDC** http://www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety/Activities/vaers.html

iii **Can People Receiving Live Virus Vaccines Transmit Vaccine Strain Virus to Others?** November 09, 2014 http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2014/11/09/ebola-vaccine-downside.aspx

iv **Report Vaccine Reactions. It's the Law!** National Vaccine Information Center. http://www.nvic.org/reportreaction.aspx

What's Really Behind the Current Measles Outbreak. Dr. Tedd Koren. Feb. 28, 2015. http://www.activistpost.com/2015/02/whats-really-behind-current-measles.html

v **Inadequate Vaccine Safety Research and Conflicts of Interest.** Elizabeth Birt Centre. http://www.ebcala.org/unanswered-questions/inadequate-vaccine-safety-research-and-conflicts-of-interest

vi **An Open Letter by Dr. Harold Buttram, MD** http://www.science-bbs.com/126-med/f93c168285ee6238.htm

vii **Antibody Theory of Vaccinology.** http://www.greenmedinfo.com/keyword/antibody-theory-vaccinology

Study Calls Into Question the Primary Justification for Vaccination. http://www.greenmedinfo.com/blog/study-disproves-cdcs-primary-justification-vaccination

viii **Inadequate Vaccine Safety Research and Conflicts of Interest.** Elizabeth Birt Centre. http://www.ebcala.org/unanswered-questions/inadequate-vaccine-safety-research-and-conflicts-of-interest

ix **25 reasons to question vaccine safety.** February 23, 2015 http://beforeitsnews.com/health/2015/02/25-reasons-to-question-vaccine-safety-2564466.html

Inadequate Vaccine Safety Research and Conflicts of Interest. Elizabeth Birt Centre. http://www.ebcala.org/unanswered-questions/inadequate-vaccine-safety-research-and-conflicts-of-interest

x **Dark Side of Medical Research: Widespread Bias and Omissions** by Jeremy Hsu | June 24, 2010 http://www.livescience.com/8365-dark-side-medical-research-widespread-bias-omissions.html

Disclosure of Clinical Trials Information http://www.pfizer.com/files/research/research_clinical_trials/Disclosure_ClinicalTrialsInformation_030209.pdf

Clinical Trial Sponsors Fail To Publicly Disclose Report Results, Research Shows. Forbes. March 11, 2015. http://www.forbes.com/sites/cjarlotta/2015/03/11/clinical-trial-sponsors-fail-to-publicly-disclose-report-results-research-shows

All Trials Registered | All Results Reported http://www.alltrials.net

xi **Why Do Parents Refuse to Vaccinate Their Children**. Dr. Eggertsen. April 23, 2015 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8LB-3xkeDAE

xii **Deaths in the US. During the Past Ten Years: 2004 – 2015.** http://vaccineimpact.com/2015/zero-u-s-measles-deaths-in-10-years-but-over-100-measles-vaccine-deaths-reported

xiii **Reportable Disease and Registries Data. Bill H.98. May 5, 2015.** https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j2UJ2oBeya0&feature=youtu.be

xiv **Avoiding False Balance: Vaccines in the Media. Voices for Vaccines. 2015**. http://www.voicesforvaccines.org/wpvfv/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/False-Balance-Toolkit-VFV.pdf

xv **Why Do Parents Refuse to Vaccinate Their Children**. Dr. Eggertsen. April 23, 2015 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8LB-3xkeDAE

xvi **Canadian MMR study just another placebo.** March 30, 2012 By Vaccine Choice Canada
http://vaccinechoicecanada.com/specific-vaccines/canadian-mmr-study-just-another-placebo

Adverse Events following 12 and 18 Month Vaccinations: a Population-Based, Self-Controlled Case Series Analysis
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3236196/?tool=pmcentrez

xvii **Doctors Against Vaccine Mandates,** May 25, 2015 By Vaccine Choice Canada
http://vaccinechoicecanada.com/in-the-news/doctors-against-vaccine-mandates

xviii **Report on the Canada Vigilance Database.** Vaccine Choice Canada. April 2015. http://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/4.15.R-CV-Database-Report.pdf

xix **Michael Crichton Quotes.**
http://www.goodreads.com/quotes/344539-i-want-to-pause-here-and-talk-about-this-notion

xx **The Lancet.** February 1998.
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673697110960

xxi **The Re-Emergence of Measles in Developed Countries: Time to Develop the Next-Generation Measles Vaccines?** Gregory A. Poland, MD January 2012.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3905323

xxii **Measles and Cloudy Thinking.** Orthomolecular Medicine News Service, March 26, 2015
http://orthomolecular.org/resources/omns/v11n04.shtml

xxiii **Philip Incao's Hepatitis B Vaccination Testimony in Ohio.** March 1, 1999.
http://philipincao.crestonecolorado.com/index_htm_files/Testimony-HouseofRepresentatives-Ohio.pdf

Additional Links

1- **Vaccine Choice Canada Science pages**
http://vaccinechoicecanada.com/science-supporting-vaccine-risk/

2. **99 published research papers supporting vaccine/autism link**
http://www.scribd.com/doc/220807175/86-Research-Papers-Supporting-the-Vaccine-Autism-Link

3. **37 studies on thimerosal link to neuro disorders**
https://www.focusforhealth.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Scientific-Papers-Showing-Linking-Thimerosal-Exposure-to-Autism-4-6-15.pdf

Letters/articles by Tetyana Obukhanych PhD and Lucija Tomljenovic directed at legislators discussing why vaccine mandates should not be imposed:

http://vaccinechoicecanada.com/in-the-news/an-open-letter-to-legislators-currently-considering-vaccine-legislation-from-tetyana-obukhanych-phd

http://vaccinechoicecanada.com/in-the-news/forced-vaccinations-for-the-greater-good-by-lucija-tomljenovic-phd

Additional Reading

A short list of current books to assist in becoming better informed on the vaccine issues.

Dissolving Illusions – by Suzanne Humphries MD, Roman Bystrianyk

Dissolving Illusions details facts and figures from long-overlooked medical journals, books, newspapers, and other sources. Using myth-shattering graphs, this book shows that vaccines, antibiotics, and other medical interventions are not responsible for the increase in lifespan and the decline in mortality from infectious diseases.

Saying No to Vaccines – by Sherri J. Tenpenny DO

The most comprehensive guide explaining how and why vaccines are detrimental to your and your child's health. Dr. Tenpenny is an Internationally recognized expert and the first physician to offer documented proof that vaccines do compromise the immune system. Dr. Tenpenny has the courage and the determination to express a minority view, substantiating her work with citations directly from Centers for Disease Control (CDC) documents and respected, peer-reviewed journals, offering irrefutable facts that fly in the face of information generally regarded as truth in traditional medical circles.

The Vaccine Illusion – By Tetyana Obukhanych PhD Immunologist

Due to the growing number of vaccine safety concerns, our society has been polarized into vaccine advocates and vaccine opponents. However, in the debate over vaccine safety, we have lost sight of a bigger problem: how vaccination campaigns wipe out our herd immunity and endanger the very young. Written by an immunologist, **Vaccine Illusion** explains why vaccines cannot give us lasting immunity to infectious diseases and how they jeopardize our natural immunity and overall health.

The Vaccine Epidemic – edited by Habakus, Holland, and Rosenberg

Public health officials state that vaccines are safe and effective, but the truth is far more complicated. Vaccination is a serious medical intervention that always carries the potential to injure and cause death as well as to prevent disease. Coercive vaccination policies deprive people of free and informed consent—the hallmark of ethical medicine. Americans are increasingly concerned about vaccine safety and the right to make individual, informed choices together with their healthcare practitioners. ***Vaccine Epidemic*** focuses on the searing debate surrounding individual and parental vaccination choice in the United States.