



Our Right • Our Choice • Our Future
Notre droit • Notre choix • Notre avenir

PO Box 23023
Belleville, ON
K8P 5J3

Dr. Joss Reimer
Public Health Agency of Canada
130 Colonnade Rd
A.L. 6501H
Ottawa, ON K1A 0K9

June 18, 2026

Dear Dr. Reimer

Thank you for your June 5, 2026 reply to my letter of May 11, 2026 and for providing the resources you rely on to support the claim on the Health Canada website that “*vaccines do not cause autism*”.

Dr. Reimer, your response fails to acknowledge that the studies relied upon by the WHO are severely limited in scope. None of the studies compare the entire childhood vaccination schedule against a non-vaccinated population. At best the studies reviewed the relationship between thimerosal and autism, and the MMR vaccine and autism. And even these studies have serious design flaws that undermine the integrity of the results.

There are a number of substantive reviews of the autism research that discredits the conclusions of the WHO report, including this review by Toby Rogers titled - Mapping the entire field of autism causation studies in one article.ⁱ

The cited report from the WHO ignores the large, well designed studies, such as the Henry Ford Hospital System study, that involves thousands of children and have consistently found evidence that vaccines contribute to neurological injury, including autism. To imply that evidence of vaccine related autism does not exist is dishonest and raises significant concerns as to the independence of both the WHO and Health Canada.

What is also concerning with the WHO report is the obvious misrepresentation of the Wakefield et al. 1998 study. ⁱⁱ The WHO report falsely claims that the Wakefield study was “fraudulent” when there was no finding of fraud. The WHO report implies that Wakefield et al falsely concluded that the measles vaccine causes autism. Nowhere in this study is that statement made. In fact Wakefield et al are very clear in their conclusions:

“We did not prove an association between measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine and the syndrome described. Published evidence is inadequate to show whether there is a change in incidence or a link with measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine.

We have identified a chronic enterocolitis in children that may be related to neuropsychiatric dysfunction. In most cases, onset of symptoms was after measles, mumps, and rubella immunisation. Further investigations are needed to examine this syndrome and its possible relation to this vaccine.”

Misrepresenting Wakefield’s conclusions is fraud.

There is a substantive number of papers supporting each respective position on the relationship between vaccines and autism. To pretend that the evidence is conclusive and that a definitive statement that “vaccines do not cause autism” is reasonable and well supported ignores the significant body of evidence to the contrary.

When one considers the post-market adverse reactions stated in the vaccine product monograph(s), one can readily see that encephalitis, and other conditions impacting the brain and nervous system have been reported with numerous vaccines approved for use in Canada. The vaccine monographs confirm the risk of neurological injury in some individuals.

In 2011 and 2013, the Institute of Medicine reviewed the entire body of evidence regarding claimed vaccine injuries. The IOM found that the research investigating the cause of 135 of the 158 commonly claimed harms from vaccine products *has not been conducted.*^{iii iv} This lack of evidence is not proof of harm. It does mean, however, that the claim of safety is scientifically unsupported.

The public is entitled to verifiable proof, beyond any reasonable doubt, that vaccination is a safe and effective procedure. Such proofs have been promised but never provided. Every institutional safeguard — ethical review boards, public health oversight, and our courts of law — should enforce the requirement of evidence-based medicine but does not. What we are experiencing with vaccinology is the wholesale collapse of scientific due diligence and accountability.

None of the vaccines on the childhood schedule have been tested against a true placebo.^v Additionally, the observational period for adverse events during the vaccine safety trial period is

measured in hours or days. These short observational periods are insufficient to confirm or deny a link to autism and other serious chronic conditions.

What is clear from the data comparing vaccinated vs unvaccinated people is that the vaccinated have substantially higher rates of chronic illness, including autism, than the unvaccinated. Society is trading a reduction in acute symptoms for an increase in chronic illness. Consider the costs for the public health system with respect to acute vs chronic conditions. Undoubtedly the latter is more costly than the former, and I suggest warranting a paradigm shift.

The experience of many parents has been that *'my child regressed after receipt of the X vaccine'*. Therefore, does Health Canada not have a duty to explore the subject and conduct research on vaccinated vs unvaccinated people in the Canadian population and compare health outcomes? To date, Health Canada has refused to conduct such a study or publicly acknowledge the results if they have.

It is important that parents and caregivers have true informed consent with the correct information for a decision regarding vaccination. This would include my previously suggested statement, and updating the Canada.ca website accordingly:

"Proper studies to determine a causal relationship between vaccination and autism have not been conducted. Therefore, the claim that vaccines do not cause autism is without scientific merit and premature at this time."

I suggest adding and committing to: *"Health Canada is committed to conducting proper studies to determine the health outcomes of vaccinated vs vaccine free children."*

I again ask that you reconsider the request of my May 11th letter and re-word the statement to be more honest and reflective of the body of evidence that exists and does not exist. To persist with such a statement without irrefutable evidence to support such a claim undermines confidence, not only in vaccination claims, but also in our public health authorities.

Sincerely,

Ted Kuntz, President
Vaccine Choice Canada

Copy to:
Honourable Marjorie Michel, Minister of Health
Nancy Hamzawi, President Public Health Agency of Canada
Dan Mazier, Standing Committee on Health
Deputy Minister of Health

Deputy Minister's Office Health Canada Brooke Claxton Building, Tunney's Pasture Postal
Locator: 0906C Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9

ⁱ <https://tobyrogers.substack.com/p/mapping-the-entire-field-of-autism>

ⁱⁱ [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(97\)11096-0/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(97)11096-0/fulltext)

ⁱⁱⁱ <https://www.nvic.org/NVIC/media/LegacySite/pdf/IOM/2013researchgaps-IOMchildhoodimmunizationschedulea.pdf>

^{iv} https://commed.vcu.edu/IntroPH/Communicable_Disease/2013/IOMReview.pdf

^v <https://icandecide.org/article/childhood-vaccine-trials-summary-chart>