Ontario Man Files \$35.6 Million Lawsuit Against Pfizer Over Son's Vaccine Death

By Jonathan Bradley

ew Tecumseth, ON, resident Dan Hartman has initiated a wrongful death lawsuit against Pfizer because his son Sean died from the COVID-19 vaccine.

"On the morning of September 27, 2021, 33 days after receiving the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination, Sean Hartman was found deceased in his bedroom by his mother," said Sheikh Law lawyer Umar Sheikh in a court filing.

"The Plaintiff pleads that Sean Hartman died as a result of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination."

Dan was denied money from the Vaccine Injury Support Program in March despite Sean dying after getting the COVID-19 vaccine.

"My son died 33 days after his first Pfizer vaccine and he was a perfectly healthy boy with no underlying conditions," he said.

He took a COVID-19 vaccine to be allowed to play hockey. Dan said he took him to the emergency room four days after his first dose because he had brown circles around his eyes, a rash on his face and pain in his right shoulder.

American pathologist Dr. Ryan Cole determined in July Sean died from the COVID-19 vaccine.

Cole examined his tissues, finding spike protein in his adrenal glands.

"The adrenal glands control your blood pressure, so if Sean's blood pressure dropped, he would have died," said Dan

Dan is seeking \$35.6 million in damages; specialized damages to be determined prior to the trial; prejudgment and post-judgment interest; the costs of the proceeding, including all applicable taxes; and further relief the court considers just.

Sheikh said Pfizer "owed a duty of care to Sean



Photo of Sean Hartman via Rod Abrams Funeral Home

Hartman to accurately inform him of all risks associated with the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination." He added he owed him a duty to warn him of the risks associated with the safety and efficacy of these vaccines.

When it comes to the standard of care, Dan pleaded Pfizer breached it for the manufacturing, testing, sale, reporting and administration of its vaccines.

With the standard of care, Sheikh alleged it was breached when it disregarded and misrepresented the results of the safety trials by providing an incorrect characterization of the efficacy data; discounting results of adverse events on vaccinated people in the study; not highlighting all results and adverse

events revealed in the studies conducted; and not stopping their administration on Canadians due to known safety concerns.

Dan pleaded Pfizer negligently misrepresented the safety of the vaccine and did not disclose the risks associated with it, which include but are not limited to myocarditis and pericarditis.

The particulars include failing to disclose people under 40 years old had an increased risk of myocarditis after taking the vaccine, rates of myocarditis were higher in adolescent males, inadequate testing was performed to ensure their safety and efficacy, failing to complete post-market surveillance and inform the Canadian government and the public of the results, failing to disclose the issues with the vaccine, and failing to identify, implement, and verify procedures to address post-market surveillance risks.

He accused Pfizer of improper product distribution when it was being sold. This is because the foreseeable risks exceeded the benefits associated with the product, it was more dangerous than ordinary consumers would expect, it did not have adequate, effective warnings and instructions over these dangers, inadequate testing and it was unfit for the purpose for which it was intended.

Sheikh concluded by saying Pfizer "concealed the fact the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccination had severe possible risks and outcomes when administered, including but not limited to myocarditis, pericarditis and death, to the public, healthcare providers, and regulatory authorities, including Health Canada."

"The Plaintiff pleads that the wrongful death of his son Sean Hartman was caused by the negligence of the Defendant," he said.

Originally published at: westernstandard.news

It's Time for an Apology

Government Apology and Commitment to Restitution

n behalf of the Government of Canada, I humbly extend my sincerest apologies to all Canadians for the deeply regrettable actions taken by governments which have resulted in undue suffering and harm to all Canadians. It is our moral and legal duty to recognize the consequences of government policies that have caused harm and to take meaningful steps toward reconciliation.

In particular, we now recognize and acknowledge that despite our rhetoric of "following the science" and our commitment to "protecting Canadians", the government implemented policies which were rash, scientifically unsupported, harmful, and in violation of fundamental rights and freedoms. We humbly acknowledge that we have caused pain, trauma, and hardship to countless Canadians. These actions are not unlike the internment of Japanese Canadians during World War II, and the cultural cleansing of indigenous children in residential schools. Our COVID policies

and mandates are another dark chapter in our history that we must confront and seek forgiveness.

We also recognize the hostile and acrimonious way in which we divided Canadians, pitting family against family, friend against friend, and neighbour against neighbour based on personal choices.

These harmful policies continue to have profound and lasting effects on the affected individuals, their families, and their communities. We must acknowledge these injustices and seek to make amends. To this end, the Government of Canada is committed to a comprehensive plan for restitution and reconciliation. In the coming months, we will implement a monetary compensation program aimed at providing redress to those individuals who have been the most severely impacted by these unjust and harmful policies and mandates.

We are committed to working in close collaboration with those affected to ensure that the compensa-

tion plan is fair, comprehensive, and sensitive to the needs of those who have suffered. We understand that compensation alone cannot fully heal the wounds, but it is a significant step in acknowledging the pain and suffering caused by our irresponsible actions.

Unlike past compensations, these compensations will not come from the pockets of the taxpayer but rather will come from those politicians, public health officials, vaccine manufacturers, and the mainstream media who were directly responsible for implementing these unjust and unscientific measures. Those who should have known better should pay the cost.

Moreover, our government is dedicated to implementing measures to prevent such injustices from occurring in the future. We will foster a culture of respect for human rights, evidence-based policies, and the protection of fundamental freedoms for all Canadians as laid out in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

We also intend that those who violat-

ed fundamental rights, engaged in the promotion and administration of fraudulent and harmful vaccine products, denied alternative treatments, or violated fundamental rights be held to account, including the laying of criminal charges and incarceration where appropriate.

In our journey toward truth and reconciliation, the Government of Canada pledges to engage with affected communities, individuals, and all Canadians, seeking to understand their experiences, provide support, and promote a brighter and more inclusive future for all.

While we can never undo the past, we can learn from it and strive to create a more just, inclusive, and equitable society. We hope that, through this official apology and the forthcoming compensation program and accountability, we can begin to address the pain and suffering caused by our unjust policies.

Together, we must work towards a Canada where all individuals are treated with dignity, respect, and equality.

Sincerely,

Prime Minister of Canada

Author's Note:

The evidence is compelling that the various levels of government, public health, and media have caused or contributed to the harming of Canadians in their response to COVID-19.

With previous government actions that caused grievous harm, governments eventually offer a formal apology and restitution for the harm caused.

I suggest that it is well beyond time for an apology and restitution.

Above is a suggestion of what that apology ought to state.

Ted Kuntz

President of VaccineChoiceCanada.com

Editor's Note:

To be clear, the above apology was NOT actually issued by the government of Canada. It is simply a representation of ideally, how a government/prime minister who truly cares for their citizens, would behave.



Photo credit: Lev Radin / Shutterstock.com